

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/869,557	10/16/2001	Jie Pan	1340-5	4710
7590 10/05/2004			EXAMINER	
Nixon & Vanderhye			WOO, STELLA L	
1100 North Glebe Road 8th Floor Arlington, VA 22201-4714			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB	
			2643	6
		DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/869,557	PAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stella L. Woo	2643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 and 15-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3</u> .	6) Other:	, pproducti (i 10 104)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because Figures 2 and 3 contain blank boxes which lack identifying labels. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2643

3. Claims 13-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 13, page 15, line 15, "the signal generation means" lacks proper antecedent basis.

In claim 13, page 15, lines 15-16, "the adaptive means" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 13, 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hodgson et al. (US 5,526,292, hereinafter "Hodgson").

Regarding claim 13, Hodgson discloses a noise reduction apparatus for an enclosure (aircraft interior; col. 3, lines 55-64), comprising:

error sensor means (Figure 11, error sensor);
control means (control filter);
a transducer (actuator);
the control means comprising:

a first controller (control filter);

Art Unit: 2643

shaping filter means (LPF or BPF provided between error sensor and LMS Adaption means);

adaptive means (LMS Adaption means).

Regarding claim 15, note reference sensor (Figure 11).

Regarding claims 16-17, error sensors 52 may be mounted in the head rest of the seats 53 (col. 8, lines 9-12).

Regarding claim 18, Hodgson provides for a plurality of speakers and a plurality of control channels (see Figure 3).

Regarding claims 19-21, note Figure 11.

Regarding claim 22, actuators can be either vibration transducers (col. 7, lines 8-22) or acoustic transducers (col. 7, lines 23-35).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hodgson in view of Ross et al. (US 5,568,557, hereinafter "Ross").

Hodgson differs from claims 23-24 in that it does not teach monitoring the noise level and disabling the control means if the noise exceeds a predetermined threshold. However, Ross teaches the well known monitoring of the performance of a noise and vibration reduction system

Art Unit: 2643

and switching off the output signals when the system performance fall below a predetermined level to ensure that the system will never increase the sound level of the cabin (col. 6, lines 18-22) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate such monitoring, as taught by Ross, within the noise reduction system of Hodgson so that system failure does not result in increasing the sound level.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 1-12 are allowed because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the noise reduction apparatus as recited in claim 1, particularly, a first controller, a plant model responsive to a reference signal to produce a signal input to a second controller, and signal generation means for producing a further error signal from the output of the second controller, the output of the first controller and the error signal.
- 9. Claim 14 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Billoud, Jolly et al., Shibata et al., Kinoshite et al., and Tamamura et al. show other noise reduction systems.

Art Unit: 2643

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stella L. Woo whose telephone number is (703) 305-4395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday, Thursday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stella L. Woo Primary Examiner Art Unit 2643 Page 6